

2025

JFK's assassination: new light?



Pax Consulting

21-6-2025

INDEX

1. SUMMARY	3
2. TIMELINE OF EVENTS	3
3. KEY FINDINGS	4
3.1 CIA Knowledge of Oswald's Soviet and Cuban Contacts Was Withheld...	4
3.2 Internal CIA Disagreements and Deception	5
3.3 Discrepancy About Oswald's Identity in Mexico	5
3.4 Oswald's role as possible "Patsy" still plausible	5
3.5 No smoking gun, but clear patterns of obstruction	5
4. FINAL ASSESSMENT	5
5. EDUCATED THEORETICAL APPROACH	6

1. SUMMARY

Trump's administration has unearthed a bunch of documents related to the investigation on the **assassination of the American premier JFK**. It was something Trump had pledged to do during his campaign. And he has delivered soon after he got to the oval office.

These papers will take long before historians analyse them. And it's quite sure there will be **different interpretations and biased publications** based on the analysis. History is reviewed and rewritten time and time again, especially those periods of time where there is a political interest, when a part of society, political grouping or social movement needs to interpret it under a new light.

JFK's assassination interrupted the first mandate of an American, **catholic, young, heroic, womanizer, eloquent and eventually revered** President. **An icon for democrats**, and whose speeches still resonate as Churchills' do.

2. TIMELINE OF EVENTS

These released documents (redacted) are **official U.S. government records** declassified as part of the **JFK Assassination Records Collection Act of 1992**, following public pressure and renewed interest after Oliver Stone's 1991 film *JFK*. Specifically:

Document Types:

1. **Internal CIA Memoranda:** Communications between CIA officers or departments discussing Oswald, Mexico City surveillance, embassy visits, and internal agency responses post-assassination.
2. **HSCA-Requested Summaries & Analysis:** Files prepared for the **House Select Committee on Assassinations** (HSCA, active 1976–1979), including:
 - ✓ Summaries of CIA activities
 - ✓ Clarifications on intelligence gaps
 - ✓ Explanations of operations in Mexico and Cuba
3. **Operational Cables & Reports:** These contain details about embassy surveillance, wiretap logs, field reports from the CIA's Mexico City station, and visual/photo surveillance intelligence.
4. **Redacted Interview Notes & Depositions:** Testimonies or commentary from CIA officers, like David Atlee Phillips, often with heavy redactions.
5. **Post-Event Investigative Debriefs:** These focus on what information was known (or not shared) before or after the assassination and who had access to it.

They were previously **highly classified** and only recently released with some sections still partially redacted.

The following is **based on the documents reviewed** and intends to show the developments regarding the assassination and its subsequent research:

Date	Event
Sept 27, 1963	Oswald arrives in Mexico City , visits the Soviet and Cuban embassies repeatedly seeking a transit visa to Cuba.
Sept 28, 1963	A person claiming to be Oswald makes a phone call to the Soviet embassy. The voice sounds fluent in Russian , which Oswald did not speak well . Discrepancy noted.
Early October 1963	CIA station in Mexico City intercepts more calls and surveillance photos. CIA fails to positively ID Oswald in any of the photos.
Nov 22, 1963	President Kennedy is assassinated in Dallas. Oswald is arrested within hours.
Nov 23–24, 1963	Oswald is killed by Jack Ruby . No formal trial ever occurs.
1964	The Warren Commission concludes Oswald acted alone. CIA withholds full Mexico City surveillance from the Commission.
1976–1979	The HSCA reopens investigation ; uncovers CIA suppression of details about Oswald's activities and contacts.
2017–2023	Series of declassified files reveal previously hidden data: internal CIA conflicts, Oswald's embassy visits, doubts about his identity in Mexico, and potential intelligence manipulation.

3. KEY FINDINGS

3.1 CIA Knowledge of Oswald's Soviet and Cuban Contacts Was Withheld

One of the strongest threads is that **the CIA was aware of Lee Harvey Oswald's contacts with the Soviet and Cuban embassies in Mexico City** but **deliberately did not inform the FBI or the Warren Commission** in real time or during initial investigations. These contacts occurred **just weeks before the assassination** and involved Oswald's repeated visits to both embassies and attempts to secure a visa to Cuba. It has to be reminded that the FBI is the agency in charge of dealing with counter espionage in US soil.

This lack of disclosure is not just a bureaucratic error—it is interpreted as a potential **cover-up** or a sign of deeper **operational interest in Oswald**, possibly as a low-level asset or “dangle” in intelligence parlance. A **dangle** is an **operative who pretends to defect or offers himself to a foreign intelligence service**,

but is still loyal to his original agency. **His goal is to infiltrate and gather information from the target agency.**

3.2 Internal CIA Disagreements and Deception

Declassified memos and internal reviews (such as the “**Lopez Report**” and the “**Morris Document**”) reveal that some CIA officials believed **others within the agency actively misled both internal and external investigations**, including the House Select Committee on Assassinations (HSCA).

For instance, **David Atlee Phillips**, a senior CIA officer linked to anti-Castro operations, appears repeatedly in the documents—his role in handling the Mexico City station and managing disinformation suggests he may have had **advance knowledge** of Oswald’s profile or activities.

3.3 Discrepancy About Oswald’s Identity in Mexico

A striking mystery is the repeated suggestion that **the person who visited the Cuban and Soviet embassies may not have been Oswald himself**. Several eyewitness accounts and telephone intercepts described someone **who did not resemble Oswald** physically or linguistically (fluent in Russian or Spanish, unlike Oswald).

This has led to the “**Oswald imposter**” theory, which remains unresolved and is seen by some researchers as a sign that **Oswald was being set up or manipulated** by third parties.

3.4 Oswald’s role as possible “Patsy” still plausible

Although the official record still places Oswald as the lone gunman, the cumulative evidence in these files strengthens the possibility that he was **either manipulated or used as a scapegoat** in a more complex operation.

His movements, unexplained connections, and **the CIA’s reluctance to fully disclose surveillance** suggest he may have been **under surveillance or operational use** by one or more intelligence factions.

3.5 No smoking gun, but clear patterns of obstruction

- The files **do not explicitly name another individual or group as the killer**.
- However, they clearly show **CIA withholding, misdirection, and internal compartmentalization** of critical information.
- The evidence collectively **raises doubts about the lone gunman theory**, though it **stops short of proving a conspiracy**.

4. FINAL ASSESSMENT

There is no single “new smoking gun” in these files, but taken together they provide compelling **new threads**:

1. The **CIA’s knowledge and concealment** of Oswald’s foreign contacts.
2. The **discrepancy in Oswald’s Mexico City identification**.

3. Persistent indications of **internal intelligence manipulation**.
4. The **possible role of anti-Castro Cuban operatives** indirectly supported or monitored by U.S. intelligence.

These patterns reinforce the long-standing suspicion that **Oswald was not acting entirely alone**, and that **elements within the CIA either had foreknowledge or were involved in obfuscating the truth**.

5. EDUCATED THEORETICAL APPROACH

There is always some truth in every good lie. We can assume that Oswald was involved in the killing of JFK. He was at the right place at the right time. He always said he had been framed. **He thought there were other individuals involved.** He was not a lone actor.

The CIA was pinpointing Oswald and anti-Castro group activities. The CIA was also surveying Russian and Cuban consulates in Mexico DF but, for some reason the CIA did not report the alleged visits of Oswald. **It is likely then, that Oswald didn't pay any visit, the CIA wanted to conceal them (Oswald was a CIA operative) or the visits were carried out by another person.** But this fact, shows that the CIA was involved in some operational activity in Mexico targeting Russia and Cuba.

If the CIA was in the middle of an operation to infiltrate Cuban and/or Russian, whether involving Oswald or not, the security of those operations include the compartmentalization of the information. It means that **only high officials within the CIA had the whole picture**. And this is important because if the operation went wrong, **those high officials were not going to take credit for it**. It also explains why the FBI had not been reported about the alleged activities of Oswald in Mexico.

It is unlikely that the CIA wanted to get his President killed, but it is highly likely that **the CIA was framed and made mistakes** resulting in the killing of the President. As mentioned before, high officials were not in the mood to admit that kind of mistakes. And then came the contradictory and obscure explanations and reports that followed.

Most likely: Oswald was being handled indirectly by the CIA. His most likely handler was someone from the anti-Castro movement (but Oswald believed his handler was pro Castro¹). He always thought there was a big organization behind and of course Russia and Cuba were supporting it. At some point, the CIA lost track of Oswald's handler and Oswald himself. The fatal end was unbearable for the CIA as organization and framed the public opinion and the US society. Survival mode.

¹ Killing JFK was explainable for both, pro and anti-Castro followers and defensible by both, too.